

# Finite fields: An introduction

Vlad Gheorghiu

Department of Physics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213, U.S.A.

July 2, 2008

## 1 Finite fields

- Definitions
- Further definitions
- Examples
- The structure of finite fields

## 2 Classical codes over finite fields

- Introduction
- Linear codes: basic properties
- Encoding methods
- Hamming distance as a metric

# Definitions

- A *field*  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a set  $F$ , together with two binary operations on  $F \times F$  denoted by  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) such that:

# Definitions

- A *field*  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a set  $F$ , together with two binary operations on  $F \times F$  denoted by  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) such that:
  - 1)  $(F, +)$  forms an Abelian group under addition. The neutral element is denoted by  $0$ .

# Definitions

- A *field*  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a set  $F$ , together with two binary operations on  $F \times F$  denoted by  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) such that:
  - 1)  $(F, +)$  forms an Abelian group under addition. The neutral element is denoted by 0.
  - 2)  $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  forms an Abelian group under multiplication. The neutral element is denoted by 1.

# Definitions

- A *field*  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a set  $F$ , together with two binary operations on  $F \times F$  denoted by  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) such that:
  - 1)  $(F, +)$  forms an Abelian group under addition. The neutral element is denoted by  $0$ .
  - 2)  $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  forms an Abelian group under multiplication. The neutral element is denoted by  $1$ .
  - 3) The multiplication operation is distributive over the addition.

# Definitions

- A *field*  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a set  $F$ , together with two binary operations on  $F \times F$  denoted by  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) such that:
  - 1)  $(F, +)$  forms an Abelian group under addition. The neutral element is denoted by  $0$ .
  - 2)  $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  forms an Abelian group under multiplication. The neutral element is denoted by  $1$ .
  - 3) The multiplication operation is distributive over the addition.

## Observation

A field does not contain any divisors of zero, that is, for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $ab = 0$  implies either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . This property is extremely important in solving systems of linear equations.

- More intuitively, a field is an algebraic structure in which the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (except division by zero) may be performed, and the familiar rules of ordinary arithmetic hold.

- More intuitively, a field is an algebraic structure in which the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (except division by zero) may be performed, and the familiar rules of ordinary arithmetic hold.
- A *finite field* is a field in which  $F$  has a finitely many elements.

- More intuitively, a field is an algebraic structure in which the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (except division by zero) may be performed, and the familiar rules of ordinary arithmetic hold.
- A *finite field* is a field in which  $F$  has a finitely many elements.
- A subset  $\mathbb{K}$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  that is itself a field under the operations of  $\mathbb{F}$  is called a *subfield*.

- More intuitively, a field is an algebraic structure in which the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (except division by zero) may be performed, and the familiar rules of ordinary arithmetic hold.
- A *finite field* is a field in which  $F$  has a finitely many elements.
- A subset  $\mathbb{K}$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  that is itself a field under the operations of  $\mathbb{F}$  is called a *subfield*.

### Observation

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a subfield of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p$  prime, then  $\mathbb{K}$  must contain the elements 0 and 1, and so all other elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by the closure of  $\mathbb{K}$  under addition. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  does not contain any proper subfield. We are led to the following concept.

# Further definitions

- A field containing no proper subfields is called a *prime field*.

# Further definitions

- A field containing no proper subfields is called a *prime field*.
- The intersection of any nonempty collection of subfields of a given field  $\mathbb{F}$  is again a subfield. The intersection of *all* subfields of  $\mathbb{F}$  is called the *prime subfield* of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

# Further definitions

- A field containing no proper subfields is called a *prime field*.
- The intersection of any nonempty collection of subfields of a given field  $\mathbb{F}$  is again a subfield. The intersection of *all* subfields of  $\mathbb{F}$  is called the *prime subfield* of  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- The *characteristic* of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 (n \text{ times}) = 0$ .

# Examples

- The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , under the usual operations of addition and multiplication.

# Examples

- The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , under the usual operations of addition and multiplication.
- The rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers. The field of rational numbers is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing no proper subfields.

# Examples

- The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , under the usual operations of addition and multiplication.
- The rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers. The field of rational numbers is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing no proper subfields.
- For a given field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the set  $\mathbb{F}(X)$  of rational functions in the variable  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field.

# Examples

- The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , under the usual operations of addition and multiplication.
- The rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers. The field of rational numbers is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing no proper subfields.
- For a given field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the set  $\mathbb{F}(X)$  of rational functions in the variable  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field.
- The set  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of integers modulo  $p$ , where  $p$  is prime. This is a finite field with  $p$  elements, usually denoted by  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

# Examples

- The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , under the usual operations of addition and multiplication.
- The rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers. The field of rational numbers is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing no proper subfields.
- For a given field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the set  $\mathbb{F}(X)$  of rational functions in the variable  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field.
- The set  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of integers modulo  $p$ , where  $p$  is prime. This is a finite field with  $p$  elements, usually denoted by  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- Taking  $p = 2$ , we obtain the smallest field,  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , which has only two elements: 0 and 1. This field has important uses in computer science, especially in cryptography and coding theory.

# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime.  
The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime.  
The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

Proof.

# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime.  
The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

## Proof.

- Suppose the characteristic  $n$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  factors as  $n_1 n_2$ , with  $1 < n_1, n_2 < n$ ; thus  $n_1 n_2 \cdot 1 = 0$ .

# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime. The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

## Proof.

- Suppose the characteristic  $n$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  factors as  $n_1 n_2$ , with  $1 < n_1, n_2 < n$ ; thus  $n_1 n_2 \cdot 1 = 0$ .
- Since there are no divisors of zero in  $\mathbb{F}$ , either  $n_1 \cdot 1$  or  $n_2 \cdot 1$  is zero.

# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime.  
The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

## Proof.

- Suppose the characteristic  $n$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  factors as  $n_1 n_2$ , with  $1 < n_1, n_2 < n$ ; thus  $n_1 n_2 \cdot 1 = 0$ .
- Since there are no divisors of zero in  $\mathbb{F}$ , either  $n_1 \cdot 1$  or  $n_2 \cdot 1$  is zero.
- It follows that either  $(n_1 \cdot 1)a = n_1 a = 0$  or  $(n_2 \cdot 1)a = n_2 a = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , in contradiction to the definition of the characteristic  $n$ , hence the characteristic is zero or prime.



# The structure of finite fields

## Lemma 1

If the characteristic of a field is nonzero, then the characteristic is prime. The characteristic of a finite field is always prime.

## Proof.

- Suppose the characteristic  $n$  of a field  $\mathbb{F}$  factors as  $n_1 n_2$ , with  $1 < n_1, n_2 < n$ ; thus  $n_1 n_2 \cdot 1 = 0$ .
- Since there are no divisors of zero in  $\mathbb{F}$ , either  $n_1 \cdot 1$  or  $n_2 \cdot 1$  is zero.
- It follows that either  $(n_1 \cdot 1)a = n_1 a = 0$  or  $(n_2 \cdot 1)a = n_2 a = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , in contradiction to the definition of the characteristic  $n$ , hence the characteristic is zero or prime.



Observation: The field of rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers all have characteristic zero.

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Proof.

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Proof.

- It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Proof.

- It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Proof.

- It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha = a_1\beta_1 + \dots + a_m\beta_m$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$  and the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .

## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

### Proof.

- It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha = a_1\beta_1 + \dots + a_m\beta_m$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$  and the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .
- There are  $|\mathbb{K}|^m = q^m$  distinct sequences of coefficients, because there are  $|\mathbb{K}| = q$  choices for each  $a_i$ .



## Lemma 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $q$  elements. Then  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q^m$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Proof.

- It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .
- Every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha = a_1\beta_1 + \dots + a_m\beta_m$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$  and the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  is uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ .
- There are  $|\mathbb{K}|^m = q^m$  distinct sequences of coefficients, because there are  $|\mathbb{K}| = q$  choices for each  $a_i$ .



The  $m$  occurring in Lemma 2, which is the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , is called the *degree* of  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

## Theorem 1

The prime subfield of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## Theorem 1

The prime subfield of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## Theorem 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. The cardinality of  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $p^m$ , where the prime  $p$  is the characteristic of  $F$  and  $m$  is the degree of  $F$  over its prime subfield.

## Theorem 1

The prime subfield of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## Theorem 2

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. The cardinality of  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $p^m$ , where the prime  $p$  is the characteristic of  $F$  and  $m$  is the degree of  $F$  over its prime subfield.

## Proof. (of Theorem 2).

Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite, its characteristic is prime (according to Lemma 1). Therefore the prime subfield  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , by Theorem 1. By Lemma 2, the cardinality of  $\mathbb{F}$  is just  $|\mathbb{K}|^m = p^m$ . □

### Theorem 3 (Existence of finite fields)

For every prime  $p$  and positive integer  $n \geq 1$  there is a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Any two finite fields with  $p^n$  elements are isomorphic.

### Theorem 3 (Existence of finite fields)

For every prime  $p$  and positive integer  $n \geq 1$  there is a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Any two finite fields with  $p^n$  elements are isomorphic.

- The previous theorem shows that a finite field of a given order is unique up to field isomorphism.

### Theorem 3 (Existence of finite fields)

For every prime  $p$  and positive integer  $n \geq 1$  there is a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Any two finite fields with  $p^n$  elements are isomorphic.

- The previous theorem shows that a finite field of a given order is unique up to field isomorphism.
- Thus one speaks of “the” finite field of a particular order  $q$ . It is usually denoted by  $GF(q)$ , where  $G$  stands for Galois (Evariste Galois, 1811-1832) and  $F$  for field.

## Theorem 4 (Subfield structure)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Every subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  has  $p^m$  elements for some integer  $m$  dividing  $n$ . Conversely, for any integer  $m$  dividing  $n$  there is a unique subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  of order  $p^m$ .

## Theorem 4 (Subfield structure)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Every subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  has  $p^m$  elements for some integer  $m$  dividing  $n$ . Conversely, for any integer  $m$  dividing  $n$  there is a unique subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  of order  $p^m$ .

### Proof.

- A subfield of the finite field  $GF(p^n)$  must have  $p^m$  distinct elements for some positive integer  $m$  with  $m \leq n$ .

## Theorem 4 (Subfield structure)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Every subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  has  $p^m$  elements for some integer  $m$  dividing  $n$ . Conversely, for any integer  $m$  dividing  $n$  there is a unique subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  of order  $p^m$ .

### Proof.

- A subfield of the finite field  $GF(p^n)$  must have  $p^m$  distinct elements for some positive integer  $m$  with  $m \leq n$ .
- By Lemma 2,  $p^n$  must be a power of  $p^m$ , so  $m$  must divide  $n$ .



## Theorem 4 (Subfield structure)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field with  $p^n$  elements. Every subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  has  $p^m$  elements for some integer  $m$  dividing  $n$ . Conversely, for any integer  $m$  dividing  $n$  there is a unique subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  of order  $p^m$ .

### Proof.

- A subfield of the finite field  $GF(p^n)$  must have  $p^m$  distinct elements for some positive integer  $m$  with  $m \leq n$ .
- By Lemma 2,  $p^n$  must be a power of  $p^m$ , so  $m$  must divide  $n$ .



## Theorem 5 (Multiplicative group structure)

For every finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the multiplicative group  $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  is cyclic.

# Introduction

- In practice, all communication channels are noisy.

# Introduction

- In practice, all communication channels are noisy.
- One of the main problems in algebraic coding theory is to make the errors, which occur for instance because of noisy channels, extremely improbable.

# Introduction

- In practice, all communication channels are noisy.
- One of the main problems in algebraic coding theory is to make the errors, which occur for instance because of noisy channels, extremely improbable.
- A basic idea is to transmit **redundant** information together with the original message one wants to communicate.

# Introduction

- In practice, all communication channels are noisy.
- One of the main problems in algebraic coding theory is to make the errors, which occur for instance because of noisy channels, extremely improbable.
- A basic idea is to transmit **redundant** information together with the original message one wants to communicate.
- In common applications, a message is considered to be a fixed finite word on a fixed finite alphabet.

- A **code** is an injection from a set of messages to a set of words on a fixed finite alphabet. The words in the range of this function are called **codewords**.

- A **code** is an injection from a set of messages to a set of words on a fixed finite alphabet. The words in the range of this function are called **codewords**.
- One requires a code to be injective so that one can decode the sequence that is receive.

- A **code** is an injection from a set of messages to a set of words on a fixed finite alphabet. The words in the range of this function are called **codewords**.
- One requires a code to be injective so that one can decode the sequence that is received.
- Main goal: detect and correct the errors.

- A **code** is an injection from a set of messages to a set of words on a fixed finite alphabet. The words in the range of this function are called **codewords**.
- One requires a code to be injective so that one can decode the sequence that is received.
- Main goal: detect and correct the errors.
- Usually the detection of errors is accomplished by noticing that the received sequence is not a codeword.

- For some codes, it is possible for the receiver to determine, with high probability, the intended message when the received sequence is not a codeword.

- For some codes, it is possible for the receiver to determine, with high probability, the intended message when the received sequence is not a codeword.
- Such codes are called *error-correcting codes*.

- For some codes, it is possible for the receiver to determine, with high probability, the intended message when the received sequence is not a codeword.
- Such codes are called *error-correcting codes*.
- Error-correcting codes are often called *algebraic codes* because they are usually constructed using some algebraic system, very often a finite field.

# Linear codes: basic properties

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

# Linear codes: basic properties

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

- $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , of dimension  $n$ .

# Linear codes: basic properties

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

- $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , of dimension  $n$ .
- The messages are assumed to be elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .

# Linear codes: basic properties

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

- $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , of dimension  $n$ .
- The messages are assumed to be elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .
- There are  $q^k$  distinct messages that can be sent.

# Linear codes: basic properties

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

- $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , of dimension  $n$ .
- The messages are assumed to be elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .
- There are  $q^k$  distinct messages that can be sent.
- The codewords are assumed to be elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  for some  $n \geq k$ .

- A code is an injective function from  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . The codewords are the range of this function.

- A code is an injective function from  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . The codewords are the range of this function.
- We are particularly interested in those codes for which the range is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , for then we can use results of linear algebra to analyze the code.

- A code is an injective function from  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . The codewords are the range of this function.
- We are particularly interested in those codes for which the range is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , for then we can use results of linear algebra to analyze the code.

### Definition

A **linear code**  $C$  is a subspace of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Such a code is called a  $q$ -ary code; the code is *binary* if  $q = 2$  and *ternary* if  $q = 3$ . The number  $n$  is the length of the code.

- Since a linear code  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , it will contain  $q^k$  distinct codewords for some  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . The integer  $k$  is called the **dimension** of the linear code  $C$ .

- Since a linear code  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , it will contain  $q^k$  distinct codewords for some  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . The integer  $k$  is called the **dimension** of the linear code  $C$ .
- One can also regard  $k$  as the length of each uncoded message, for our messages will be elements from the set  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ . We denote such a code  $C$  as an  **$[n,k]$**  linear code.

- Since a linear code  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , it will contain  $q^k$  distinct codewords for some  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . The integer  $k$  is called the **dimension** of the linear code  $C$ .
- One can also regard  $k$  as the length of each uncoded message, for our messages will be elements from the set  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ . We denote such a code  $C$  as an  **$[n,k]$**  linear code.
- Example 1: the  $q$ -ary repetition code which acts by repeating the message  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  that is to be encoded a total of  $n$  times:  $a \rightarrow a \dots a$ . This is an  **$[n,1]$**  linear code.

- Since a linear code  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , it will contain  $q^k$  distinct codewords for some  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . The integer  $k$  is called the **dimension** of the linear code  $C$ .
- One can also regard  $k$  as the length of each uncoded message, for our messages will be elements from the set  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ . We denote such a code  $C$  as an  **$[n, k]$**  linear code.
- Example 1: the  $q$ -ary repetition code which acts by repeating the message  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  that is to be encoded a total of  $n$  times:  $a \rightarrow a \dots a$ . This is an  $[n, 1]$  linear code.
- Example 2: The binary *parity – check* code over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_n, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i)$ . This is an  $[n, n - 1]$  linear code, but with no error-correcting ability.

# Encoding methods

- There are two well known matrix encoding techniques: the parity-check matrix and the generator matrix.

# Encoding methods

- There are two well known matrix encoding techniques: the parity-check matrix and the generator matrix.

## Parity check matrix

Let  $H$  be a  $(n - k) \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of rank  $n - k$ . Then  $C = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid H\mathbf{c}^T = 0\}$  is a linear  $[n, k]$  code.

# Encoding methods

- There are two well known matrix encoding techniques: the parity-check matrix and the generator matrix.

## Parity check matrix

Let  $H$  be a  $(n - k) \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of rank  $n - k$ . Then  $C = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid H\mathbf{c}^T = 0\}$  is a linear  $[n, k]$  code.

## Generator matrix

Let  $G$  be a  $k \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The set  $C = \{\mathbf{a}G \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k\}$  is a linear code, of dimension  $k$  equal to the rank of  $G$ .

# Hamming distance as a metric

## Definition

The **Hamming distance**  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as the number of coordinates where the vectors differ. The **Hamming weight**  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the number of coordinates where the vector is nonzero.

# Hamming distance as a metric

## Definition

The **Hamming distance**  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as the number of coordinates where the vectors differ. The **Hamming weight**  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the number of coordinates where the vector is nonzero.

## Proposition

The Hamming distance function is a metric. That is, for all vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ :

①  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ .

# Hamming distance as a metric

## Definition

The **Hamming distance**  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as the number of coordinates where the vectors differ. The **Hamming weight**  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the number of coordinates where the vector is nonzero.

## Proposition

The Hamming distance function is a metric. That is, for all vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ :

- ①  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ .
- ②  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ .

# Hamming distance as a metric

## Definition

The **Hamming distance**  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as the number of coordinates where the vectors differ. The **Hamming weight**  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the number of coordinates where the vector is nonzero.

## Proposition

The Hamming distance function is a metric. That is, for all vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ :

- ①  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ .
- ②  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ .
- ③  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ .

# Hamming distance as a metric

## Definition

The **Hamming distance**  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as the number of coordinates where the vectors differ. The **Hamming weight**  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the number of coordinates where the vector is nonzero.

## Proposition

The Hamming distance function is a metric. That is, for all vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ :

- ①  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0$ .
- ②  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ .
- ③  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ .
- ④  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) \leq d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ .

## Definition

If  $C$  is a linear code, then the **minimum distance**  $d_C$  of  $C$  is defined as

$$d_C = \min(d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}) = \min(\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq 0)$$

## Definition

If  $C$  is a linear code, then the **minimum distance**  $d_C$  of  $C$  is defined as

$$d_C = \min(d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}) = \min(\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq 0)$$

## Definition

A code  $C$  is said to be  $t$ -error correcting if for every vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , there is at most one codeword  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  within distance  $t$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ , that is, with  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \leq t$ .

## Definition

If  $C$  is a linear code, then the **minimum distance**  $d_C$  of  $C$  is defined as

$$d_C = \min(d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}) = \min(\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq 0)$$

## Definition

A code  $C$  is said to be  $t$ -error correcting if for every vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , there is at most one codeword  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  within distance  $t$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ , that is, with  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \leq t$ .

## Theorem 6

Let  $C$  be a code.

- 1  $C$  can correct  $t$  errors iff  $d_C \geq 2t + 1$ .

## Definition

If  $C$  is a linear code, then the **minimum distance**  $d_C$  of  $C$  is defined as

$$d_C = \min(d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}) = \min(\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq 0)$$

## Definition

A code  $C$  is said to be  $t$ -error correcting if for every vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , there is at most one codeword  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  within distance  $t$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ , that is, with  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \leq t$ .

## Theorem 6

Let  $C$  be a code.

- ①  $C$  can correct  $t$  errors iff  $d_C \geq 2t + 1$ .
- ②  $C$  can detect  $s$  errors iff  $d_C \geq s + 1$ .