Statistics meets
Quantum Mechanics

Shiang Yong LOOI
Carnegie Mellon University
14th Aug 2008

Say we have a two-level quantum system

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

where the coefficients are unknown.

Given n copies of the state, our task is to estimate α and β .

Want to devise efficient ways to estimate coefficients.

Talk Overview

- Introduction to statistical estimation
- Quantum state estimation
- Cube and Tetrahedron measurement schemes
- Comparison

Say we have a RV with Poisson distribution

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

$$Pr(X = x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and we want to learn what λ is by sampling values.

We sample n times from the same distribution, $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$.

How to get an estimate of λ from these samples?

We know the expectation value of X_i is λ .

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} = \lambda$$

Therefore, one way to estimate lambda is by using the *sample mean* of $\{X_i\}$.

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \overline{X}$$

This is also the *Maximum Likelihood* estimator.

We also know the *variance* of X is λ , or

$$\mathbb{V}(X_i) \equiv \mathbb{E}(X_i^2) - \mathbb{E}^2(X_i)$$
$$= \lambda$$

which suggests another estimator

$$\widehat{\lambda}_2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Are there other estimators?

Which estimator is better?

Three metrics to compare estimators

- 1) Bias
- 2) Variance
- 3) Mean Squared Error, MSE

Recall the first estimator,

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

Now, $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ is itself a random variable.

Ideally, $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ should be distributed around λ . Would like $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\lambda}_1)$ to be close to λ .

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) = \sum_{x_1, x_2, \dots} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_i \right) \Pr(x_1) \Pr(x_2) \cdots$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) = \mathbb{E}(\frac{1}{n}\sum X_i) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}(\sum X_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}(n\lambda) = \lambda$$

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) := \mathbb{E}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) - \lambda$$
$$= 0$$

 $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ is an *unbiased* estimator.

<u>Bias</u>

The second estimator,

$$\widehat{\lambda}_2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) = 0$$

<u>Bias</u>

Let's define another estimator,

$$\widehat{\lambda}_3 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

What's the bias of this estimator?

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\widehat{\lambda}_{3}) := \mathbb{E}(\widehat{\lambda}_{3}) - \lambda$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)\widehat{\lambda}_{2}) - \lambda$$

$$= \lambda\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) - \lambda$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda}{n}$$

Estimator is biased, but asymptotically unbiased.

Recall that both $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_2$ are unbiased.

Variance is a measure of the spread.

Therefore, smaller variance is better.

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) = \lambda \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^3} + 2\lambda^2 \frac{(2n-1)}{n^2}$$

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_3) = \frac{\lambda}{n} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{n-1}$$

For
$$n > 1$$
, $\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) \leq \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_3) \leq \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) \ \forall \ \lambda$

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_1) = rac{\lambda}{n}$$
 $\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) = \lambda rac{(n-1)^2}{n^3} + 2\lambda^2 rac{(2n-1)}{n^2}$

Since they are both unbiased, pick the one with smaller variance.

Using Cramer-Rao lower bound, no unbiased estimator has smaller variance than $\frac{\lambda}{n}$.

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) = \lambda \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^3} + 2\lambda^2 \frac{(2n-1)}{n^2}$$

$$\mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_3) = \frac{\lambda}{n} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{n-1}$$

$$\lambda_2$$
 has bigger variance.

$$\widehat{\lambda}_3$$
 has bigger bias.

MSE

$$\mathrm{MSE}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) := \mathbb{V}(\widehat{\lambda}_2) + \mathrm{Bias}^2(\widehat{\lambda}_2)$$

For
$$n > 1$$
, $MSE(\widehat{\lambda}_3) \leq MSE(\widehat{\lambda}_2) \quad \forall \lambda$

If we have to choose between these two, pick the one with smaller MSE.

Three metrics to compare estimators

- 1) Bias
- 2) Variance
- 3) Mean Squared Error, MSE

Say we have a two-level quantum system

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

where the coefficients are unknown.

Given n copies of the state, our task is to estimate α and β .

In terms of density operator

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{I} + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \right)$$

where \vec{r} is the Bloch vector of length 1.

Next define \vec{R} as our estimate of \vec{r} , also of length 1.

We will be studying the fidelity

$$\widehat{F} := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{R} \right)$$

We will look at

1) Two measurement schemes

We will be studying the fidelity

$$\widehat{F} := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{R} \right)$$

We will look at

- 1) Two measurement schemes
- 2) Two estimators, $ec{R}$

We will be studying the fidelity

$$\widehat{F} := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{R} \right)$$

We will look at

- 1) Two measurement schemes
- 2) Two estimators, \vec{R}
- 3) Bias, variance and MSE of \widehat{F} and how it depends on \overrightarrow{r}